

TOP SECRET

1 September 1951

MEMORANDUM FOR: Executive Secretary
National Security Council

In your absence on Saturday, I called
Mr. David E. Bell at the White House, who asked
that our comments on the President's Standby Speech
be furnished him immediately.

[Redacted]
Assistant to the Director

25X1

Enclosures

1 Cy #62417-A
1 Cy #62417 less encl.

MORI/CDF Pages 1 thru 4 & 7
thru 20

JSE/c
Distribution:
Orig & 1 cc--addressee
2 cc--signer (reading & official)

25X1

DOCUMENT NO. _____
NO CHANGE IN CLASS. ☐
☐ DECLASSIFIED
CLASS. CHANGED TO: TS S *2011*
NEXT REVIEW DATE: _____
AUTH: HR 70-2
DATE: 30 MAR 1981 REVIEWER: [Redacted]

TOP SECRET

Document consists of _____ pages

11 : 6 series

TOP SECRET

1 September 1951

MEMORANDUM FOR: Mr. David E. Bell
The White House

Confirming our telephone conversation this date, the Director of Central Intelligence has asked me to transmit this Agency's comments on the Standby Address of the President in the event the Communists break off Armistice Negotiations in Korea.

[Redacted]
Assistant to the Director

25X1

Enclosures

Cy #1 & #2 of 62417

cc: ES/NSC

JSE/c
Distribution:
Orig & 1 cc--addressee
1 cc ES/NSC
2 cc--signer(reading
 Official ✓
2 cc-[Redacted]

DOCUMENT NO. _____
NO CHANGE IN CLASS. ☐
☐ DECLASSIFIED
CLASS. CHANGED TO: TS & 62011
NEXT REVIEW DATE: _____
AUTH: HR 70-2
DATE: 30 MAR 1981 REVIEWER: [Redacted]

25X1

TOP SECRET

This document consists of / pages

TOP SECRET

02117

Copy 5 of 6.

SUBJECT: CIA Comments on Draft of Standby Address for Presidential Delivery in the Event the Communists Break off Armistice Negotiations in Korea.

1. The draft assumes that the Communists will so act that it will be plain to all that they have broken off negotiations, but that there will not have been a new offensive. We believe that any such clear-cut break-off action is unlikely. In the recent bombing incident, the Communists, clumsy as they were in fabricating the incident, were clever in avoiding the onus of a definitive break. The USSR has generally been extremely careful to avoid the appearance of breaking off negotiations, and the Chinese Communists during the civil war generally renewed hostilities not after any definite break but while talks were still in progress or when they had been tacitly allowed to drop. In our view the two most likely contingencies are:

(a) That the Communists will allow the talks to fade away, perhaps to the point where no further meetings are scheduled, but that they will not formally break them off. In this case, a Presidential speech throwing the blame on the Communists or warning them against starting a new offensive would presumably be more guarded than the present draft.

(b) That the Communists will launch a major offensive, claiming that the US/UN disrupted the negotiations. At the same time they might possibly employ other arguments associated with the Japanese Peace Treaty. In this event, a Presidential speech would necessarily deal with the actuality of renewed aggression rather than merely with the threat.

2. The second half of the draft (from the 2nd paragraph of page 7 on) deals with the risk of war with the USSR in highly generalized terms, including reference to present US policies. But it also carries a strong hint of unspecified US offensive action (e.g., the 2nd paragraph of page 12 and the closing sentence of the draft). (See Tab 1). We suggest that initially emphasis be given to the UN determination to reach its objectives in Korea by whatever means are required, including the military moves already covered in pages 9-11 of the draft. Instead of stressing the possibility that Communist China might engage in aggressions elsewhere in Asia (which is not regarded as likely in US intelligence estimates), it would be better to emphasize that the probable intensification of the

DOCUMENT NO. _____
 NO CHANGE IN CLASS. ☐
☐ DECLASSIFIED
 CLASS. CHANGED TO: TS 6 2011
 NEXT REVIEW DATE: _____
 AUTH: HK 70-2
 DATE: _____ REVIEWER: _____

25X1

TOP SECRET

TOP SECRET

war in Korea is likely to lead to full-scale war between the UN/US and Communist China. Thereafter, the responsibility of the USSR and the risk of general war between the US and the USSR could be considered, along with a statement of what the American people must do to meet this situation.

3. It is noted that no part of the draft is addressed directly to the fighting men in Korea. Explanation of the situation to those men appears vital.

4. On pages 5 and 6, (See Tab 2) reference is made to the fact that "no weapons, ammunition, or airplanes" are produced in Communist China. Since Communist China does produce some ammunition, it is suggested that this read: "no heavy weapons, artillery, tanks, or planes." (Note that there are two references.)

5. On page 7, (See Tab 3) reference is made to Communist capabilities:

(a) If reference is made to possible further Communist aggression in Asia (see comment 2 above), from an intelligence standpoint an early attack on India appears unlikely, though it may be desirable for policy reasons to refer to such an attack as an eventual possibility. We suggest the wording: "... against Indo-China, Burma, and the rest of Southeast Asia."

(b) The possibility of attack in Western Europe might be emphasized more, together with an explicit statement that the Soviet Union is in a state of war-readiness both in Europe and in the Far East.

6. From the intelligence standpoint, it seems unwise at this time, when the emphasis should be on resistance to aggression, to suggest that the real American objective is the reduction of the Soviet orbit (pages 12 and 13).

Enclosure

cc: ES/NSC

Distribution:

Orig & 1 cc--to White House (Mr. David E. Bell)

1 cc--ES/NSC

2 cc--signer(reading & official)

1



- 2 -

TOP SECRET

DOCUMENT DESCRIPTION 25X1

REGISTRY

SOURCE

DATE OF DOCUMENT: 1 Sept. 51

COPY NUMBER (S): 4 ~~1~~ 6

NUMBER OF PAGES:

DOCUMENT NO. *Remo*

NUMBER OF ATTACHMENTS: #5, 62417-A; #5, 62417 w/ 1ccy 62395

CIA CONTROL NUMBER: 62417-5

DATE DOCUMENT RECEIVED: 4 Sep '57

LOGGED BY: *mar*

ATTENTION: THIS FORM WILL BE ATTACHED TO EACH TOP SECRET DOCUMENT RECEIVED BY THE CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY OR CLASSIFIED TOP SECRET WITHIN THE CIA AND WILL REMAIN ATTACHED TO THE DOCUMENT UNTIL SUCH TIME AS IT IS DOWNGRADED, DESTROYED OR TRANSMITTED OUTSIDE OF CIA. ACCESS TO TOP SECRET MATERIAL IS LIMITED TO THOSE INDIVIDUALS WHOSE OFFICIAL DUTIES RELATE TO THE MATERIAL. EACH ALTERNATE OR ASSISTANT TOP SECRET CONTROL OFFICER WHO RECEIVES AND/OR RELEASES THE ATTACHED TOP SECRET MATERIAL WILL SIGN THIS FORM AND INDICATE PERIOD OF CUSTODY IN THE LEFT-HAND COLUMNS PROVIDED. THE NAME OF EACH INDIVIDUAL WHO HAS SEEN THE TOP SECRET DOCUMENT AND THE DATE OF HANDLING SHOULD BE INDICATED IN THE RIGHT-HAND COLUMNS.

[illegible]

NOTICE OF DETACHMENT

WHEN THIS FORM IS DETACHED FROM TOP SECRET MATERIAL IT WILL BE COMPLETED IN THE APPROPRIATE SPACES BELOW AND TRANSMITTED TO CENTRAL TOP SECRET CONTROL FOR RECORD.

The Top Secret material detached from this form was:

DOWNGRADED

DESTROYED

DISPATCHED (OUTSIDE CIA) TO:

BY: _____ (SIGNATURE)

(OFFICE)

(DATE)

TOP SECRET

FEB 1950

COURIER'S CLASSIFIED MAIL RECEIPT		NO. <i>D-146</i>
TO	<i>Mr Bell - White House</i>	
FROM	<i>DCI</i>	
TYPE OF MATERIAL		
<i>1 sealed envelope</i>		
SIGNATURE OF RECIPIENT	<i>T M Bachelier</i>	TIME RECEIVED <i>1:53 p.m. 9/1/50</i>
SIGNATURE OF COURIER	<i>[Signature]</i>	TIME OF PICK-UP <i>1:45</i>

62395
Encl #1

COPY

FIRST DRAFT
August 23, 1951

My fellow Americans:

As you all know by now, the North Korean and Chinese communists have broken off the armistice negotiations in Korea. Tonight I want to tell you exactly what happened, what this means to all of us, and what we shall have to do now.

What happened last night was that the communist aggressors broke off armistice negotiations because they did not really want peace in Korea. They manufactured an excuse by claiming that a United Nations airplane bombed Kaesong, the area where the armistice talks were going on. This was an obviously false assertion. A ten-year-old child could have seen through their false and silly claim. They didn't even do an artistic job of faking their evidence -- a couple of pieces of scrap metal, and a little crater they apparently had blown themselves with a hand grenade, was all they offered as proof of their claim.

The communists can't fool us -- or the rest of the free world -- with a tall tale like that. We know where all our airplanes were last night, and none of them was within miles of

- 2 -

Kaesong at the time the bombing was supposed to have occurred.

The plain fact is that the communists wanted to break off the armistice negotiations. They deliberately manufactured an excuse. This, together with their stalling, slippery tactics at every stage of the negotiations, is proof positive that they were not really interested in establishing an armistice as the first step toward a peaceful settlement of the Korean conflict.

Instead, what they were trying to do was to get us to throw away everything we have gained by our fight for freedom in Korea. They wanted us to back off from our determination to stop aggression. They wanted us to agree, not to an armistice in Korea, but to a surrender of the brave people of Korea to the desires of the aggressors.

They were simply trying to gain the objectives of their aggression by trickery, since we had prevented them from gaining their objectives by force.

- 3 -

But we were not tricked. General Ridgeway and his negotiating team, headed by Admiral Joy, calmly and patiently insisted that we would stop fighting only if a real armistice were negotiated, under which there would be real safeguards against new aggression. We wanted a real armistice -- not a surrender.

The communist aggressors would not agree to the establishment of a neutral zone between the opposing armies, based on a defensible line where we could protect ourselves if a new attack was started.

The communist aggressors would not agree that during the armistice neither side would build up its military strength in Korea. They would not agree that observers from both sides would have complete access to all parts of Korea to make sure that the armistice terms were not violated. Finally, the communists would not agree to exchange prisoners of war.

Those were the simple and straightforward armistice terms that we proposed. An armistice on any lesser terms would be a sham.

- 4 -

It is obvious on the face of it that the communist refusal to work out an armistice along these lines means only one thing -- they did not want a peaceful settlement in Korea.


This is an extremely serious matter. It greatly darkens the outlook for world peace. It means that the rulers of the international communist movement, contemptuous of the combined moral judgment of the 53 (?) free nations, careless of the lives of the North Korean and Chinese soldiers, have decided to continue their aggression. These ruthless men, disregarding both simple international justice and the lives of the millions of men under their control, have plunged on in their assault on human freedom and decency.

We do not know their full intentions, but there is no doubt that if they choose, they can bring on a new world war, with all its horror and devastation.

- 5 -

It is important to realize what sort of a decision the aggressors have made. The North Korean communists could not have made this decision by themselves. The North Korean army was shattered last fall and, although it has been reorganized to some extent, it could not stand up now against the United Nations' forces.

Consequently, the Chinese communists must have made the decision to continue the aggression. They have taken staggering losses -- over a million casualties in eight (?) months -- several times the United Nations' casualties in the same period. But the Chinese communist rulers have immense reserves of manpower, and immense disregard for human life, and they have decided to continue the slaughter of their own people.

However -- and it is vitally important to understand this -- the Chinese communist rulers alone could not carry on the aggression any more than the North Koreans could. No heavy weapons, ammunition, or  airplanes are produced in China. The aggression in Korea could not be long

- 6 -

continued without a flow of such equipment coming in to supply the Chinese and North Korean communists. These heavy weapons, ammunition, and aircraft are coming from only one place -- the Soviet Union.

That means unmistakably that the Soviet Union must have agreed to support the continued communist aggression in Korea. The Soviet rulers cannot escape the responsibility -- plain to all the world to see -- for the continued aggression in Korea, and for all that may come from that.

This decision of the communist rulers -- the North Korean, the Chinese, and the Soviet rulers -- was made in the face of the combined moral judgment of the vast majority of the people of the world. The free peoples of the world have time and again voted in the United Nations that the communist attack in Korea is plain, unvarnished aggression. It has been undertaken, and is being continued, by men who sneer and mock at the elementary ideas of justice and human freedom which underlie any civilized society.

If this aggression succeeds, the world will be well down the road to chaos and barbarism.

- 7 -

Hant and rave as they will in their never-ceasing propaganda, the communist rulers cannot conceal from free men -- nor even from their own people -- the facts of their repeated violations of the basic moral code of mankind. They are wrong -- criminally wrong -- and the whole world knows it.

Their decision to continue the aggression in Korea immediately raises the possibility of further aggression.

The communist rulers may broaden the aggression in Korea, by enlarging the area of fighting beyond the Korean peninsula; for example, we know they have men and equipment in places from which they could at any time be launched against Japan.

The communist rulers may broaden the aggression elsewhere in Asia: for example, they have men and equipment in places from which they could at any time be launched against Indo-China, Burma, or India.

The communist rulers may broaden the aggression to Europe or the Middle East; we know, for example, that they have men and equipment in places where they could at any time be launched against Western Germany, or Yugoslavia, or Turkey, or Iran.

- 8 -

Any of these actions could bring on World War III.

That is a fact we must face soberly and without shrinking.

I repeat: the Soviet rulers could bring on World War III at any time by launching forces they now have ready.

This then is the situation that confronts us: the communists are continuing their aggression in Korea; they are doing it with the approval of the Kremlin; and the aggression could spread at any time, anywhere in the world.

These are the facts, and I want everyone in our country to understand them clearly, because our situation is one of grave danger, and we must all stand together.

Our situation, however, is by no means desperate.

We and our allies have strong and well-trained military forces, which we are rapidly enlarging.

We and our allies have great and dynamic economic strength, which we are steadily increasing.

- 9 -

Above all, we and our allies have on our side the immense moral force of freedom. We stand for the individual dignity of man, and that upholds our will and gives us millions of potential allies behind the Iron Curtain.

Our objective is clear: to establish the rule of justice in the world -- for that is the only way to lasting peace.

In Korea, we shall fight on to stop aggression. We shall do so in whatever manner and by whatever means are calculated to advance the world objective of peace under international justice.

During the armistice negotiations we never dropped our guard. We do not know what moves the communist generals will make, but we are prepared for whatever may come. The United Nations command is fresh and rested; its morale is high; the men know that their cause is vital to every person in this country and to the whole free world. The United Nations forces are ready to defend themselves against enemy attack, or to take the offensive in Korea.

I am of course not going to discuss our military strategy with the Kremlin listening in. But certain facts are obvious.

- 10 -

There is a real possibility that the communists may launch major air attacks, using the air bases they have in Manchuria and the planes they have gathered there. If such attacks occur, and they threaten the security of the United Nation's troops, direct retaliation will of course be necessary.

Thus there exists, just as there has from the beginning, the danger that the fighting may spread beyond Korea. Our desire is the same as it has been: we do not want to spread the fighting. We want to stop the aggression where it started, in Korea. But we shall have to be ready, as we have always been, to use whatever military means offer promise of bringing the fighting to a successful conclusion.

The fighting will necessarily be costly to the Chinese. Thousands and thousands more Chinese soldiers will be killed or hurt as a result of the mad course their communist rulers have taken.

We regret this. We have no quarrel with the Chinese people. We would gladly make peace with them at any time. We

- 11 -

want to see them free and independent and at peace, under a government of their own choosing.

But as long as they persist in allowing themselves to be the dupes and puppets of ruthless men in Peiping and Moscow we have no choice.

The Chinese people will be hurt not only by the loss of their soldiers. Their economic system has been hurt already by the sharp economic restrictions we and other free nations have placed on trade between the mainland of China and the free world. The Chinese have been cut off from access to rubber, and oil, and wool and many other products they need. China is a land of vast poverty, and their present course can only add to that poverty. The free world will cut off more and more trade with the Chinese mainland. If the Chinese people expect to obtain from the Soviet Union what they can no longer obtain from the free world, they are doomed to disappointment. The Soviet Union sucks the lifeblood of its satellites for the evil purposes of the Kremlin. Alliance with the Kremlin brings death, not life.

- 12 -

In other parts of the world, we and other free nations must continue to build up military, economic and moral strength. The great policy for security and peace on which we are embarked, is designed to put us in the best possible position to meet and counteract whatever course the Soviet rulers may take. We intend to be ready to meet major war, satellite aggression, internal subversion, raucous propaganda, or any other measures the Kremlin may take.

But our policy is not simply defensive. We want to do more than simply to maintain the status quo. We intend to change the situation, so that as time goes by the strength of freedom will grow and the Soviet threat will diminish.

That is why we have joined in building armed forces that can defend Europe and reduce the vulnerability of that area to attack. That is why we are urging the establishment of stronger economic and political arrangements, cutting across the ancient boundaries of Europe, and creating there a progressively stronger community. That is why we are joining in the fight against hunger and ill-health and illiteracy in the Middle East and Asia, in Africa and Latin

- 13 -

America — so that the people of those areas not only can shrug off communist subversion but also can add energy and skill to the strength of freedom. That is why we are doing our best to send words of truth and hope to the people behind the Iron Curtain — to let them know we have not forgotten that they, too, want to be free.

These and many more things we are doing to bring peace to a troubled world. They are practical, realistic steps that can move ahead, if we take them with courage and firmness.

So I say to all of you tonight in your homes: the situation we face, in Korea and all around the world, is dangerous in the extreme. But it can be met, and met successfully, if we all work together. We know what we want to accomplish, and we know how to do it.

The months and years ahead of us will not be easy. They won't be easy for our fighting men in the front lines, in Europe, or in other parts of the world. They won't be easy times for those of us here at home, whether we work in a factory, on a farm, or in an office or store.

- 14 -

But always remember that what we are doing is right,
and it is worth every sacrifice, because it concerns our liberty
as free men.

Remember that our forefathers faced greater odds,
when they gained their freedom from an ancient tyrant, than
we do in establishing freedom against the threat of a new tyranny.

They won the freedom of our country by steady, unflinching
hard word in the face of danger. We can do as well. We have the
same clear purpose. We have the same abiding faith in Him who
has given us our strength. We can win.

- - - - -